

Table 2
Many Grantees Did Not Spend Significant Amounts of Their Grant Funds in 2022

GRANTEE	2022 ACTIVITY			
	AMOUNT AWARDED BY DCC*	AMOUNT SPENT*	PERCENTAGE SPENT	GRANTEE SUBMITTED AN AMENDMENT REQUEST AS OF APRIL 2023
City of Adelanto	\$973,000	\$6,000	1%	YES
City of Commerce	416,000	Unable to determine because Commerce did not attribute expenditures to the grant in its accounting system.	Unknown	NO
City of Desert Hot Springs	822,000	No funds spent because the city council had not approved a contract with a consultant to administer the program as of December 2022.	0	NO
County of Humboldt	18,635,000	Unable to determine because Humboldt's financial system did not contain all of its grant expenditures.	Unknown	YES
County of Lake	2,101,000	461,000	22	NO
City of Long Beach	3,935,000	20,000 [†]	1	NO
City of Los Angeles	22,312,000	Did not charge its grant funds for any costs but tracked approximately \$272,000 in personnel expenses that it intended to charge to the grant at a later date.	0	NO
County of Mendocino	17,586,000	330,000	2	NO
County of Monterey	1,737,000	66,000	4	YES
County of Nevada	1,221,000	1,000	Less than 1	YES
City of Oakland	9,905,000	197,000	2	NO
City of Sacramento	5,787,000	171,000	3	NO
City of San Diego	764,000	6,000	1	YES
City and County of San Francisco	3,076,000	196,000	6	NO
City of Santa Rosa	776,000	49,000	6	NO
County of Sonoma	1,158,000	198,000	17	YES
County of Trinity	3,294,000	314,000 [†]	10	YES
Totals	\$94,498,000	\$2,015,000	2%	

Source: DCC grant agreements, interviews with DCC staff, grantee amendment requests, and expenditure data from grantees' financial systems.

* Dollar amounts are rounded to the nearest thousand.

[†] As Table 3 describes, this grantee underreported its expenditures.