

# Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

## Delay in Reassigning an Incompetent Psychiatrist, Waste of State Funds (Case I2009-0607)

### REPORT NUMBER I2010-2, CHAPTER 1, ISSUED JANUARY 2011

This report concludes that the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (Corrections) placed parolees at risk by allowing a psychiatrist to continue to treat them for four months after it received allegations of his incompetence. In addition, Corrections wasted at least \$366,656 in state funds by not conducting a timely investigation of the allegations. Because it identified the investigation as low priority, Corrections took 35 months to complete it, resulting in the psychiatrist performing only administrative duties for 31 months before being discharged. Nonetheless, during the 35-month investigation, he received over \$600,000 in salary, including two separate merit-based salary increases of \$1,027 and \$818 per month, and he also accrued 226 hours of leave for which Corrections paid him an additional \$29,149 upon his termination.

In the report, the California State Auditor (state auditor) made the following recommendations to Corrections. The state auditor's determination regarding the current status of recommendations is based on Corrections' response to the state auditor as of November 2011.

#### **Recommendation 1—See pages 7—11 of the investigative report for information on the related finding.**

Corrections should establish a protocol to ensure that upon receiving credible information that a medical professional may not be capable of treating patients competently, it promptly relieves that professional from treating patients, pending an investigation.

#### ***Corrections' Action: Fully implemented.***

Corrections established a task force to discuss its policies and procedures for removing the medical professional from treating patients, pending investigation. In June 2011 Corrections reported that it established policies and procedures for collecting information about the costs related to health care employees who are either assigned alternate duties or on administrative time off.

#### **Recommendation 2—See pages 7—11 of the investigative report for information on the related finding.**

Corrections should increase the priority the Office of Internal Affairs (Internal Affairs) assigns to the investigation of high-salaried employees.

#### ***Corrections' Action: Fully implemented.***

Corrections reported that to reduce the fiscal impact to the State, Internal Affairs considers expediting investigations that involve high-salaried employees who are assigned alternate duties. In November 2011 Corrections distributed a memorandum to executive staff members stressing the importance of consulting with Internal Affairs prior to assigning alternate duties to an employee so that Internal Affairs can—among other purposes—consider the case for expedited processing. In addition, Corrections stated that it uses a case management system to track investigations of Corrections employees within Internal Affairs. The tracking includes information about when Internal Affairs was notified about employees under investigation who have been assigned alternate duties or are placed on administrative time off.

**Recommendation 3—See pages 7—11 of the investigative report for information on the related finding.**

Corrections should develop procedures to ensure that Internal Affairs assigns a higher priority for completion of investigations into employee misconduct involving employees who have been assigned alternate duties.

***Corrections' Action: Fully implemented.***

Corrections stated that Internal Affairs communicates with the proper authorities to determine whether an employee under investigation has been removed from primary duties and considers expediting the completion of investigations involving high-salaried staff assigned alternate duties. Corrections identified its procedures in the November 2011 memorandum to executive staff. In addition, Corrections reported in November 2011 that it had conducted eight formal training events in 2011 and stated that Internal Affairs provided the training as needed in various forums, including one-on-one training. It also noted that Internal Affairs usually conducts the training annually with an open invitation to staff members with roles in the employee discipline process.